

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/724,484 11/28/2003 Jun-Ku Han 7590 12/12/2005		Jun-Ku Han	45545.18.0	1033	
		EXAMINER			
John F. Dolan			HOANG, TU BA		
Fredrikson & Byron, P.A. 4000 Pillsbury Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
200 South Sixth Street			3742		
Minneapolis, MN 55402-1425			DATE MAN ED 12/12/2006		

**DATE MAILED: 12/12/2005** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
10/724,484	HAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	_
Tu Ba Hoang	3742	

	Tu Ba Hoang	3742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice o ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply n	f Appeal. To avoid aba ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the maili (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 tension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply ori than three months after the mailing d	it of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), $^{\circ}$	to avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	of will not be entered b	ecause
<ul> <li>(a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ∑ They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see Now); tter form for appeal by materially recorresponding number of finally re 16 and 41.33(a)).	OTE below); reducing or simplifying ejected claims.	the issues for
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li></ol>	:		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1.2 and 4-13.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ vided below or appended.	viii be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		N. P C. A	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence i	s necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to on showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app y and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	nea.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	/
13. Other:	v	Tu Ba Hoang	/

Tu Ba Hoang Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3742

**Application No. 10/724,484** 

## Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: amended claim 1 now has required the non-conductive gap having a shape of concave-convex patterns in which such amendment has raised new issues into the dependent claims 2, 4-9 and 11-13 which would require further consideration and/or search. Furthermore, the recitation of "the shapes in claim 10 would now render the claim indefinite for lacking antecedent basis.